

JNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR F١ COOK ISIS-2508 06/17/97 08/877,317 **EXAMINER** HM12/0605 MARTINELL, J JOSEPH LUCCI WOOD COCK WASHBURN KURTZ PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** MACKIEWICZ AND NORRIS 1633 ONE LIBERTY PLACE-46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA PA 19103 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

06/05/00

Advison	A ation

Application No. 08/877,317

Examiner

Group Art Unit

James Wartinell

1633

Cook



	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
í	a) 💢 expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
١	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
0	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
_ / I	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
App but	olicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>May 16, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
	The proposed amendment(s):	
[will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
[will not be entered because:	
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
	☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): None.	
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition	
	for allowance because: <u>Applicant has not addressed the bases for the rejection. Applicant's argument based on a prediciton in the Rojanasakul reference is not convincing because the same reference outlines several technical problems (cont. below)</u>	
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
	Claims allowed: None.	
	Claims objected to: None.	
	Claims rejected: 13-16, 19, 20, and 24-26	
	The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
	Other not addressed by applicatn. Additionally, the prediction that clinical trials may be justified is not evidence that the problems set forth have been solved such that one of skill in the art can practice the invention as claimed.	
λ	Interview Summery (5/16/00) attached PRIMARY EXAMINER	